



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,957 | 04/25/2006 | Yoshiaki Taguchi | 1009760-000029 | 2345 |

21839 7590 04/17/2008
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

USELDING, JOHN E

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

4171

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

04/17/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/576,957 | Applicant(s) TAGUCHI, YOSHIKI | |
| | Examiner John Uselding | Art Unit 4171 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/9/2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (5,091,135) in view of Kobayashi (2002/0151624).

3. Okada et al. teach a moldable composition for encapsulating electronic components comprising a liquid crystal polymer which can form an anisotropic melt phase (column 1, lines 53-55), polycarbonates which do not form an anisotropic melt phase (column 5, line 19), 0.1-30% silicone rubber having a particle diameter of 1-20µm (column 7, lines 12-17), and glass fibers (column 8, lines 15-16). While Okada et al. teach the use of fluorine based resins (column 5, line 20) the examiner relies upon the polytetrafluoroethylene of Kobayashi since it is part of their flame retardant package.

4. Regarding claims 3-4 and 12-14: applicant claims that the silicone rubber is formed by crosslinking organopolysiloxane and has an average particle diameter of 1-20µm. Okada et al. teach that their silicone rubber is formed by crosslinking organopolysiloxane (column 5, lines 39-65) and has a particle diameter of 1-20µm (column 7, lines 12-17).

Art Unit: 4171

5. Regarding claim 7 and 16: applicant claims polycarbonate resin. Okada et al. teach the use of polycarbonate resin (column 5, line 19).
6. Regarding claim 9 and 18: applicant claims that the filler is glass fiber. Osaka et al. teach the use of glass fibers (column 8, lines 15-16).
7. Regarding claims 10, 11, 19, and 20: applicant claims injection molded article comprising the composition. Okada et al. teach that their composition will be injection molded to form a product (column 8, lines 40-41).
8. What Okada et al. fails to teach is a phosphor based flame retardant and a phosphorus oxo acid monoester or diester.
9. Kobayashi teaches a moldable polycarbonate resin composition with excellent heat and flame retardancy. To provide the heat and flame retardancy Kobayashi teaches the use of 3-20% (paragraph 0014) of a phosphorus flame retardant (0053), 0.1 to 2 parts by weight of polytetrafluoroethylene to improve the flame retardancy (paragraph 0071) and the phosphorus oxo acid diester dibutyl phosphate as a heat stabilizer (paragraph 0168). Kobayashi teaches the exact same formula as applicant's formula 1 of claim 2 (paragraph 0053). Kobayashi teaches the use of the phosphorus oxo acid diester dibutyl phosphate (paragraph 0168). While Kobayashi does not teach the exact parts by weight he does teach that it is present in trace amounts (paragraph 0167). Kobayashi teaches 0.1 to 2 parts by weight of polytetrafluoroethylene (paragraph 0071).
10. Since they are similar moldable resin compositions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the

Art Unit: 4171

heat and flame retardant composition of Kobayashi into the resin composition of Okada et al. to provide a moldable resin composition with excellent heat and flame retardancy.

11. While the prior art does not teach all of the exact parts by weight given, it is obvious to modify the concentrations of the parts. Since the silicone rubber (C-2) is present .01-30% and the phosphor based flame retardant (C-1) is present 3-20%, part of ranges of (C-1) and (C-2) will provide a ratio of (C-1)/(C-2) from 1-2. For example, if (C-1) was 20% and (C-2) was 10% then the ratio $(C-1)/(C-2) = 1$. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have varied the concentration of the flame retardant materials in order to produce a material having a desired level of flame retardance as this would be a result effective variable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Uselding whose telephone number is (571)270-5463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00a.m. to 4:30p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4171

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4174

John Uselding
Examiner
Art Unit 4171